Intertek
The Warehouse
Brewery Lane
Leigh, WN7 2RJ
UK

Tel +441942 265 700
consumergoods.uk@intertek.com
www.intertek.com

TEST REPORT

Report Ref.	LEI25031160A Original		
Date Received	14/03/2025	**Date Issued**	20/03/2025

Company Name & Address	Camira Fabrics Limited Meltham Mills , HD9 4AY
Contact Name	Amanda Jack

Order Number	83A31799
Colour	Aqua
Quality	Advantage
Batch Number	562846
End Use	Task Seating
No Of Samples	1
Quoted Fibre Composition	60% Polypropylene 30% Wool 10% Viscose
Retailer	General

Test	Method	Sample	Result
Martindale Abrasion Resistance	BS EN ISO 12947-2: 2016		See Results

Tests marked (^) in this report have been performed by an approved 3rd party laboratory.
Tests marked (*) in this report are not included in our UKAS scope of accreditation.

Sam Davey
(Jobsheet Technician)

Intertek
The Warehouse
Brewery Lane
Leigh, WN7 2RJ
UK

Tel +441942 265 700
consumergoods.uk@intertek.com
www.intertek.com

Martindale Abrasion Resistance BS EN ISO 12947-2: 2016
Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Results	Requirement
Shade change @ 6000	4-5	
	Abrasion Resistance*	
Specimen 1	>60,000 Revs	
Specimen 2	>60,000 Revs	
Specimen 3	>60,000 Revs	
Overall result**	>60,000 Revs	
Test Information		
Test load:	12 kPa	
Fabric type	Woven	
Breakdown criteria	None found	
Inspection interval	Every 10,000 Revs	
Foam used	Yes	
Preparatory treatment	No	
*The abrasion resistance result is the last inspection point at which no breakdown was observed.		
**The overall result is the lowest individual test result of all the test specimens tested.		

Overall Test Result: See Results
Uncertainty: ±17.1%

Report Type	Issue Date	Revision Reason	Revision Description
Original	20-Mar-25	Complete Original Issue	N/A

Intertek
The Warehouse
Brewery Lane
Leigh, WN7 2RJ
UK

Tel +441942 265 700
consumergoods.uk@intertek.com
www.intertek.com

The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or willful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k = 2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference and where a % value is stated it should be applied to the stated result, this % value is accurate at the acceptance limit, where results are significantly different to the acceptance limit the calculated uncertainty may be over or understated. Uncertainty should be carefully considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements - in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.